



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,541	03/30/2004	Awdhoot Vasant Kerkar	FDN-2831	3421
William J. Davi	7590 07/25/2007		EXAM	INER
Building Mater	als Investment Corporation	n,	CHEVALIER,	ALICIA ANN
Legal Departme 1361 Alps Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Wayne, NJ 074			1772	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			07/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/812,541	KERKAR ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Alicia Chevalier	1772		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address		
A SH WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. It is timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. INED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	•			
-	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 M	lav 2007	•		
· ·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
′=	, 				
-/-	closed in accordance with the practice under E	•			
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
· _	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11-18</u> is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	•			
Applicati	ion Papers	•			
9) <u></u>	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage		
Attachmen	• •	🗂			
2) Notic 3) Inform	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ter No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/812,541

Art Unit: 1772

RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

Page 2

1. Claims 1-18 are pending in the application, claims 11-18 are withdrawn from consideration.

2. Amendments to the claims filed on May 16, 2007, have been entered in the above-identified application.

WITHDRAWN REJECTIONS

3. The 35 U.S.C. §103 rejection of claims 1-10 as over Fasold et al. (US Patent No. 2,326,724) and evidenced by Wikipedia, made of record in the office action mailed January 16, 2007, pages 2-4, paragraph #5 has been withdrawn due to Applicant's amendment in the response filed May 16, 2007.

NEW REJECTIONS

4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Algrim et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,738,884) in view of Fasold et al. (U.S. Patent No. 2,326,724) and evidenced by Wikipedia.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,541

Art Unit: 1772

Regarding Applicant's claim 1, Algrim discloses a roofing shingle (col. 5, line 19) comprising a top and bottom surface (figures 1 and 2). The bottom surface is provided with a release coating of a continuous film (col. 5, line 20 and 33-46). The release coating is disposed only on a pressure point portion of the bottom surface (figure 2, ref. #14). The release material prevents stacked shingles from sticking together during packing (col. 5, lines 25-30).

Algrim fails to disclose that the release coating has particles with a good to perfect basal cleavage.

Fasold discloses a roofing shingle (col. 1, lines 8-9) comprising a top and bottom surface (figure 4). The bottom surface is provided with a release coating of a continuous film of particles (col. 13, lines 33-37 and col. 1, lines 26-31).

Fasold further discloses that the particles on the bottom surface prevent sticking of the adjacent layers of the roofing material in a package (col. 1, lines 26-31). Basal cleavage is cleavage parallel to the base of a crystal, or to the plane of the lateral axes making the material feel slippery (see wikipedia print out).

Algrim and Fasold are analogous because they both disclose release materials for roofing articles.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add the particles of Fasold to the release material of Algrim in order to insure that the bottom surface of an adjacent layer of roofing material does not stick together in a package.

Furthermore, the exact basal cleavage of the particles is deemed to be a result effective variable with regard to the release property of the particles. It would require routine experimentation to determine the optimum value of a result effective variable, such as basal

Art Unit: 1772

cleavage, in the absence of a showing of criticality in the claimed basal cleavage. *In re Boesch*, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980), *In re Woodruff*, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use a good to perfect basal cleavage in order to increase the release properties, i.e. slipperiness, of the particles and prevent sticking.

Regarding Applicant's claim 2, in figure 2 of Algrim it shows that the pressure point portion is immediately above and below a top edge of the bottom surface, where the top edge overlaps a bottom portion of a headlap portion of the top surface.

Regarding Applicant's claim 3, Algrim discloses that the roofing shingle is a shingle selected from the group consisting of a laminated shingle and a strip shingle (figures 1-4).

Regarding Applicant's claims 4 and 5, Fasold discloses that the particles are in the class phyllosilicates, such as talc (col. 1, lines 26-27).

Regarding Applicant's claims 6-10, Algrim discloses a wherein the top surface of each roofing shingle faces the bottom surface of its adjoining roofing shingle (col. 5, lines 28-32 and figure 4).

ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

6. Applicant's arguments in the response filed May 16, 2007 regarding the previous rejection of record have been considered but are most since the rejections have been withdrawn.

Art Unit: 1772

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia Chevalier whose telephone number is (571) 272-1490. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye, can be reached on (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 1772

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ac 7/21/07

ÀLICIA CHEVALIER
PRIMARY EXAMINER